



## LPA Scheme for the LHC Luminosity Upgrade

### Chandra Bhat, Fermilab (LARP)

**CERN ACCELERATOR PHYSICS FORUM** 

August 13, 2009 CERN



### **Acknowledgements**



- Frank Zimmermann,
- Oliver Brüning,

### SPS:

- Elena Shaposhnikova
- 🧶 Thomas Bohl
- Trevor Linnecar
- Theodoros Argyropoulos
- Joachim Tuckmantel

### PS:

- 🧶 Heiko Damerau
- Steven Hancock
- Edgar Mahner
- Fritz Caspers

Thanks also to Humberto Cuna rom Univ. Extremadura, Cen. Uni. Merida, for providing me ECLOUD simulations on FLAT bunches in the LHC







## Motivation

Introduction

Flat-bunch scheme, a short history and theory
 LHC luminosity upgrade scenarios

Flat bunch Studies at CERN

Beam studies in SPS and PS

- Flat Bunches in the Fermilab Recycler Ring
- Issues to explore
- Prospects for LHC
- Conclusions & Plans



## **Motivation**



The Large Piwinski angle or "Flat Bunch scheme" has the potential to yield 40% higher luminosity than Gaussian bunches for the same bunch intensity and the total beam-beam tune shift if the flat-bunch line intensity is kept the same as Gaussian peak intensity.

(F. Ruggiero and F. Zimmermann (PRST-AB-Vol. 5, 061001 (2002)

The Piwinski angle  $\phi$ , is given by,

$$\phi = \frac{\theta_c \sigma_z}{2\sigma_x}$$

 $\theta_c$  is crossing angle  $\sigma_z$  is RMS bunch length  $\sigma_z$  is RMS transverse size



Upgrade of the LHC luminosity towards 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>sec<sup>-1</sup> poses daunting challenges! It is, therefore, necessary to explore seriously all of the viable options.

### Hence the interest in flat bunches in the LHC !



## **Some History Time-line**



## Used in ISR (1972-1979)

### Flat bunch applications worldwide

- Fermilab Collider program: Recycler (2000-present). We had barrier rf system since its inception (~1982).
- CERN-SPS Flat bunches with barrier buckets (early 2000?)
- KEK Induction Accelerator (~from 2000, Takayama's Group)
- □FAIR Project at Darmstadt is planning to use flat bunches ← lots of theoretical work is being carried out



interaction point

The **luminosity** for single crossing is given by,

$$L = 2cf_{rev}\cos^2\left[\frac{\theta}{2}\right] \int n_1 n_2 dV dt$$

The **incoherent beam-beam tune shift** due to additional focusing and defocusing EM force caused by one beam on the another beam is given by,

$$\Delta Q_{x,y} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \Delta k_{x,y}(z) \beta_{x,y}(z) dz$$



Luminosity for Gaussian Beams becomes,

$$L_{G} = \frac{2f_{rev}n_{b}N_{p}^{2}}{(\mathbf{Q}\pi)^{2}\sqrt{2}\sigma_{z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos\left[\frac{\theta_{c}}{2}\right]}{2\sigma_{\perp}(z)^{2}} \exp\left\{-z^{2}\left[\frac{\sin^{2}\left[\frac{\theta_{c}}{2}\right]}{\sigma_{\perp}(z)^{2}} + \frac{\cos^{2}\left[\frac{\theta_{c}}{2}\right]}{\sigma_{z}^{2}}\right]\right\} dz$$

And the beam-beam tune spread,

# Luminosity and Beam-beam-tune shifts

Luminosity for two rectangular bunches of length " $l_b$ ",



And the beam-beam tune spread is,

Ref: 1. F. Ruggiero and F. Zimmermann PRST-AB-Vol. 5, 061001 (2002)) and

2. Heiko Damerau, "Creation and Storage of Long and Flat Bunches in the LHC", Ph. D. Thesis 2005

Chandra Bhat



## **Present LHC Upgrade Paths**



### F. Zimmermann, CARE-HHH Workshop, 2008

Parameter		Nominal	Ultimate	ES & FCC	LPA
Bunch Length (RMS)	cm	7.55	7.55	7.55	11.8
bunch intensity	1011	1.15	1.7	1.7	4.9
transv. emitt.	μm	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75
bunch spacing	ns	25	25	25	50
beta* at IP1&5	m	0.55	0.5	0.08	0.25
crossing angle Piwinski parameter	μrad	285 0.64	315 0.75	0 0	381
peak lumi $\mathcal{L}$ average $\mathcal{L}$ (turnaround time 10h)	10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	1.0 0.46	2.3 0.91	15.5 2.4	10.7 2.5
event pile-up		19	44	294	403

### Note that for ES and FCC scheme the $\beta^*$ is 0.08m



## **Flat Bunch Creation**



ΔE

Bunches with uniform or nearly uniform line-charge distribution are "Flat Bunches"



There are several ways to create flat bunches
 Using resonant rf system

Double, triple or multiple harmonic rf system

Longitudinal hollow bunches, Carli's technique

Barrier rf to generate Flat bunches

Λť

# Flat bunches with Double Harmonic RF



- References
  - Ind Harmonic debuncher in the LINAC, J.-P. Delahaye et. al., 11<sup>th</sup> HEACC, Geneva, 1980.
  - Diagnosis of longitudinal instability in the PS Booster occurring during dual harmonic acceleration, A.Blas et. al., PS/ RF/ Note 97-23 (MD).
  - □ Elena Shaposhnikova, CERN SL/94-19 (RF) ← Double harmonic rf system; Shaposhnikova et. al., PAC2005 p, 2300.
  - Empty Bucket deposition in debunched beam, A. Blas, et, al.,EPAC2000 p1528
  - Beam blowup by modulation near synchronous frequency with a higher frequency rf, R. Goraby and S. Hancock, EPAC94 p 282
  - a) Creation of hollow bunches by redistribution of phase-space surfaces, (C. Carli and M. Chanel, EPAC02, p233) or
    - b) recombination with empty bucket, C. Carli (CERN PS/2001-073).
  - Heiko Damerau, "Creation and Storage of Long and Flat Bunches in the LHC", Ph. D. Thesis 2005
  - RF phase jump, J. Wei et. al. (2007)





# Recent Studies on Flat Bunches at CERN

## Recent Beam Studies on Flat Bunches with Double Harmonic RF



### Studies in PS



- LHC-25 cycle, Flat Bunch at 26 GeV
- ➢ Beam Intensity: ~8.42E12 ← Equivalent LHC nominal Intensity
- ➢ Bunch Emittance:~1.4 eVs ← Nominal emittance to LHC beam
- ➢ RF with V(h=21)=31kV and V(h=42)=16kV ← V42/V21~0.5, 0.0

**July 2009** 

- > PS Cycle and Emittance same as above, Intensity about 15% larger
- RF with V(h=21)=10kV and V42/V21=0.0 to 1.0 in steps of 0.1

### Studies in SPS

- □ November 2008: Study on BLM and BSM
  - Coasting beam at 270 GeV
  - # Bunches =4, with bunch separation of 520 nsec
  - > Bunch intensity and emittances were similar to Nominal LHC beam
  - > RF with V(800MHz)/V(200MHz) = 0.25, with varieties of V(200MHz)
- □ July 2009: Study on BLM and BSM
  - Studies at 26 GeV
  - # Bunch= 1, Varying Bunch Intensity and emittance (max. comparable to LHC beam)
  - ➢ RF with V(800MHz)/V(200MHz) = 0.25 and .1 , with V(200MHz)=1.7MV

The data is - being analyzed

#### Chandra Bhat





## **PS Studies**



# Evolution of RMSW of Bunches in PS while Flattening





Expected:-- About 50% increase in RMSW from beginning of rf manipulation to the flattened bunch



h

21

### PS Beam Studies using LHC25

#### RF ramp used in the transforming nominal bunches to flat bunches



Became unstable near extraction

Some oscillations seen when beam was in mostly h=21

Chandra Bhat



**Conclusions:** The observed coupled bunch instabilities in the PS with single harmonic rf system can not be accounted for by the known cavity impedances. ← The new kickers in PS are suspected to be the possible source of impedances



## **Beam Stability Criterion**







 Large synchrotron frequency spread improves the stability.

 $\frac{df_s}{dt} = 0$ 

lf

inside the bucket the particle in the vicinity of this region can become unstable against collective instabilities

V. I. Balbekov et.al.,Vol. 62, No.2, pp. 98-104,1987

As the slope of the rf wave is reduced to zero at the bunch center, the bunch becomes longer and synchrotron frequency spread is greatly increased. This increases Landau damping against coupled bunch instabilities. A. Hofmann & S. Myers, Proc. Of 11<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on

HEA, ISR-Th-RF/80-26 (1980)



### **Flatness Along the Batch**





By a detailed study, Heiko concluded that a small phase errors (~ 2°) between h=21 and h=42 lead to significant asymmetry of bunches. Hence, we need transient beam loading compensation.



#### Chandra Bhat

# July Studies in the PS: A first look (cont.)



2009-07-14\_LHC25\_FlatTop\_10kVh21\_6kVh42\_cb\_18b\_b







Beam is more stable







# Flat Bunches at the Fermilab Recycler



### **Fermilab Accelerator Complex**



Recycler Broad-band RF Cavities #of Cavities=4 Rs~50Ω 10kHz-100MHz







-Recycler (8GeV-Storage Ring) & Main Injector



MI31: Pelletron & Recycler e-cool section

Chandra Bhat

# Flat Bunches in the Recycler



or Flat bunches of any length <~11 μsec



### Typical Flat Bunches in the Recycler (Recent)









### Removal of the Distortion of the Flat Bunches, the 1<sup>st</sup> Attempt





- By using proper combination of filters the unwanted component was removed.
- J. Dey, D.Kubicki and J. Reid, PAC2003, 1204.

inear Density

0.2

0.1

0.0

4

## 0 $\tau / \sigma_{\tau}$

-2

2



 $^{-4}$ 

The measured line charge distribution of the electron bunch was well explained as a solution to Haissinski Equation which states that in the presence of a pure resistive impedance, R<sub>s</sub>, the linear density is given by,

$$\rho(\tau) = \rho_0 \exp\left[-\frac{\tau^2}{2\sigma_\tau^2} + \alpha_R N_0^{\tau} \rho(\tau') d\tau'\right] + \text{ve for head,} + \text{ve for head,} - \text{ve for tail}$$
Where  $\alpha_R = \frac{e^2 \beta^2 E_0 R_s}{\eta T_0 \sigma_E^2}$ 

1<sup>st</sup> term in the exponent represents rf potential and is even in  $\tau$ 2<sup>nd</sup> term gives perturbation to the rf potential but odd in  $\tau \leftarrow$  giving rise to asymmetry, resulting in bunch lengthening or shortening.

K.L.F. Bane & R.D. Ruth, PAC1989, 789 (SLAC SLC) (beam is going from left to right)







 $N = 1.2 \times 10^{10}$ 

N = 2.9 x 10<sup>10</sup>





### Recycler Beam Loading Effects: Function of Intensity



#### Potential Well Distortion due

to the resistive part of the coupling impedance was observed by increasing the bunch intensity at a fixed bunch length (flat bunch) ← First observation of such effects in hadron machines (according to one of my theory friends, Bill Ng)



Bhat and Ng, Proc. 30<sup>th</sup> Adv. ICFA Beam Dynamics. Workshop, 2003, Stanford, Oct. 2003





### Recycler Beam Loading Effect: Function of Bunch Length



By varying the bunch length on the same beam showed that the solution to the problem requires further improvements.

Consequence of this issue on the Tevatron Collider Program was

Bunch to bunch Luminosity variation >200%

Goal: <15%





## RF Imperfections and FPGA based Adaptive Corrections

**Arbitrary Units** 



30

6.13usec

Time

Beam

WCM data

Potentia

well

The inverse of the potential well and beam wall current monitor data are found to be strongly correlated ← Indicated necessity of rf corrections beyond the linear corrections

To understand this behavior analyses have been made using **Haissinski equation**, assuming  $\Delta E$  distribution to be Gaussian,

$$\rho(\tau) - \rho(0) = \frac{|e|\beta^2 E_0}{|\eta| T_0 \sigma_E^2} \rho(0) \int_0^\tau V_{eff}(\tau') d\tau'$$

where  $V_{eff}(\tau)$  =measured fan-back voltage



# Longitudinal Stability of Recycler Bunches:

(T. Sen, C. Bhat and J.-F. Ostiguy, FERMILAB-TM-2431-APC, June 9, 2009)

We have examined the stability of intense beam in barrier buckets of the Recycler. We include space charge effect in this model to predict the bunch intensity at which Landau damping would be lost.









## **Beam Studies in the SPS**



#### Chandra Bhat





## **Prospects for the LHC**





- Two scenarios for creating flat bunches at LHC are investigated
  - □ Flat Bunches creation at 450 GeV and acceleration
  - □ Flat Bunches at the Top energy
    - Using the 200 MHz (R. Losito et. al, EPAC2004, p956) and 400MHz RF systems in the Ring.
    - ➢ Using 400 MHz and 800 MHz RF ← This gives 41 cm long flat bunches, BUT!?!



### Bunch Flattening of the LHC Beam at 7 TeV (ESME Simulations)





















#### Chandra Bhat



### Acceptable Flat Bunches at LHC with 400MHz+800MHz RF





### **Conclusions:**

The 41 cm long flat bunches (2.5 eVs) with 400Mhz+800Mhz rf systems may be susceptible to beam instability.

Chandra Bhat



### Bunch Flattening of the LHC Beam at 7 TeV with 400MHz and 200MHz RF systems





### Flat Bunches at Injection & Acceleration using 400MHz and 200 MHz rf systems



US ` ARP



### Acceptable Flat Bunches at LHC with 200MHz+400MHz RF





Chandra Bhat



### **ECLOUD Simulations** for Nominal and Flat bunches





Humberto Maury Cuna, CINVESTAV, Mexico

#### **Conclusions:**

The estimated e-cloud effect with flat bunches is many times smaller than that with Gaussian bunches.



## **Summary and Conclusions**



- The large Piwinski angle scheme is a viable path for the LHC luminosity towards 10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>sec<sup>-1</sup>. ← I am optimistic that this can be done! But, there are number of issues, may be unique to the LHC, that need to be addressed.
- The studies carried out in PS and SPS are very encouraging.
- I have discussed flat bunch creation at 450 GeV and its acceleration using 200MHz+400MHz system. There are some problems to be overcome here.
- I have discussed two scenarios for LHC flat bunch creation at the top energy.
  - □ 400MHz+800 MHz with proper voltage can be used to produce flat bunches with lb =41 cm. But this is not suitable from the point of view of beam stability.
  - □ Combination of 200MHz+400MHz system seems more promising.
- It will be very useful to have a test 400MHz rf cavity (Vmin~2MV) in the SPS to conduct dedicated studies on the beam instability on flat bunches.

Flat bunch scenario for the LHC is a very promising path for the Luminosity upgrade.



## **THANKS**



### Carli's Hollow Beam Technique (EPAC2002, p233)



### **Experimental Demonstration at CERN PSB**



The beam studies were carried out up to beam intensity of **8x10<sup>12</sup>/bunch** 



# SPS: Beam Studies with double harmonic rf



(E. Shaposhnikova, T. Bohl, T. Linnecar, J. Tuckmantel and C. Bhat)

- During the last MD studies (Nov. 5, 2008), we have carried out beam studies in the SPS to revisit the beam instability issues in 200MHz+800MHz, (i.e., h=1+h=4) double harmonic rf system.
   ←During 2006 study (at 120GeV/c) development shoulder in bunches were seen (E. Shaposhnikova et. al.,)
- Studies were conducted under various conditions at 270GeV Flat top on a coasting beam
  - Four LHC type (intensity and Long. emitt.) bunches, separated by 550nsec
  - Different RF voltage ratios for V4/V1, (V4(100-500kV), V1(1-3MV)
  - □ Long. damper and Phase-loop ON and OFF
  - □ Bunch lengthening and shortening mode (BLM and BSM)



Chandra הוים

### SPS Beam Studies(cont.): BSM and BLM (Preliminary)



- Both BSM and BLM scenarios showed beam blowup
- The instability kicked in between 0-350 sec.
- The order in which a bunch becomes unstable was quite random
- Even though initial bunch parameters are nearly the same, they stabilized at different bunch properties

